

## REMARKS

The claims have been amended to call for the use of electromagnets to control the position of the display of the play piece.

For example, claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 2. Claim 2 was rejected based on Hino. While Hino does disclose the use of electromagnetic energy, he does so without disclosing the use of electromagnets. The electromagnetic energy would correspond to radio frequency or wireless radio signals. There are no electromagnets mentioned in Hino.

Therefore, claim 1, as amended, patentably distinguishes over Hino.


Claim 12 was amended to include the subject matter of claim 13. Claim 13 was rejected under Section 103 as being unpatentable over Castillo in view Hino. As described above, Hino does not teach electromagnets and, most certainly, Castillo teaches nothing of the sort.

Claim 18 was amended to include the subject matter of claim 19. Claim 19 was rejected on the same basis as claim 13 and, for the reasons described above, amended claim 18 should patentably distinguish over the art.

In view of these remarks, the application should now be in condition for allowance and the Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 13, 2005



---

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]